

**Baker & Hostetler LLP**

45 Rockefeller Plaza  
New York, NY 10111  
Telephone: (212) 589-4200  
Facsimile: (212) 589-4201  
David J. Sheehan  
Tracy Cole  
Fernando Bohorquez  
David McMillan  
Ganesh Krishna

*Attorneys for Irving H. Picard, Trustee  
for the Substantively Consolidated SIPA Liquidation  
of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC  
and the Estate of Bernard L. Madoff*

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION  
CORPORATION,

Plaintiff-Applicant,

v.

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT  
SECURITIES LLC,

Defendant.

Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789 (SMB)

SIPA LIQUIDATION

(Substantively Consolidated)

In re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF,

Debtor.

IRVING H. PICARD, Trustee for the Liquidation  
of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

MAGNIFY INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Adv. Pro. No. 10-05279 (SMB)

**TWELFTH AMENDED CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN**

Plaintiff Irving H. Picard (the “Trustee”), as trustee for the liquidation of the business of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC (“BLMIS”) under the Securities Investor Protection Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 78aaa, et seq. (“SIPA”), and the substantively consolidated estate of Bernard L. Madoff, and Defendants Magnify, Inc. (“Magnify”), Strand International Investments Ltd. (“Strand”), Premero Investments Ltd. (“Premero”), Yair Green (“Green”), the Yeshaya Horowitz Association (“Yeshaya”), and Express Enterprises Inc. (“Express”) (the Trustee, together with the Defendants, are collectively referred to herein as the “Parties”) hereby submit the following Proposed Twelfth Amended Case Management Plan, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 16 and 26, as incorporated into Bankruptcy Rules 7016 and 7026.

**Discovery Plan.** The discovery plan is amended as follows:

1. Initial Disclosures: Initial Disclosures were served by the Trustee and by Defendants on **May 7, 2012**. The Trustee served Amended Initial Disclosures on Defendants on **September 5, 2012**.

2. Subjects on Which Discovery May be Needed: The Parties contemplate that discovery will be needed on all issues relating to the commencement of the BLMIS Ponzi scheme and relating to the avoidance and recovery of the transfers described in the Second Amended Complaint, and that fact and expert discovery will be needed.

Unless the Parties agree to a broader scope, absent further order of the Court upon a showing of good cause, discovery will be limited solely and specifically to non-privileged matters to the extent discoverable under Federal Rule 26(b)(1), including but not limited to: (a) the commencement of the BLMIS Ponzi scheme; (b) the calculation of net equity for the BLMIS accounts of those of the Defendants who held BLMIS accounts (Magnify, Strand, Premero, and

Yeshaya; collectively, the “Accountholder Defendants”); (c) the financial condition of BLMIS; (d) the activities of BLMIS, including both fraudulent conduct, including the Ponzi scheme, and legitimate operations; (e) the Accountholder Defendants’ account documents and customer correspondence and interactions with BLMIS, its employees, agents, other investors, customers and other relevant persons; (f) interactions between Albert Igoi, Green, or any relevant person and BLMIS, its employees, agents, investors, other customers and other witnesses; (g) the internal records of BLMIS; (h) transfers of money by, among, and within BLMIS; (i) the Defendants’ good faith or lack thereof, including issues related to the Defendants’ operations and actual or constructive notice of fraudulent activity by BLMIS or anyone acting on its behalf; (j) disclosures made by BLMIS under federal and/or state laws, such as disclosures to the SEC; (k) the identity of other persons or entities that may be liable for the transfers at issue, whether as subsequent transferees or for some other reason; and (l) documents, witnesses and other discovery relevant to potential defenses and cross-claims.

3. Depositions: All non-expert depositions must be completed by the Fact Discovery Cut-Off date in paragraph 4 below. Depositions shall proceed concurrently, with no party having priority. As to depositions taken in the United States, the Parties will endeavor to conclude the majority of depositions within the seven (7) hours provided under Rule 30(d)(1), although the Parties acknowledge that there may be certain depositions that cannot be concluded within the time limit. The Parties will agree to reasonable extensions (or reductions) of the seven (7) hour limit as appropriate, notwithstanding the provisions of Rule 30(d)(1). The Parties agree that they will work in good faith to identify the requisite number of deponents and depositions necessary.

To the extent that the Trustee proffers an expert witness on an issue that is common to more than one Avoidance Action, the Trustee may coordinate such deposition in multiple

Avoidance Actions to maximize efficiency and use of resources. The Trustee shall notify all interested parties of the proposed date, time and location of any such depositions to be coordinated in multiple Avoidance Actions. To the extent a deposition is so coordinated, the limitations set forth in Federal Rule 30(d)(1) shall not apply, and the parties shall agree on an appropriate duration for the deposition. Depositions of the Trustee are prohibited absent an order issued by this Court upon a showing of good cause.

All depositions of the Trustee's fact and expert witnesses shall occur at the offices of Baker & Hostetler LLP, 45 Rockefeller Plaza, New York, NY 10111, or such other location as the Parties may agree. Depositions of Defendants' expert and fact witnesses shall occur at such place as the Parties shall mutually agree.

5. Fact Discovery Cut-Off: All fact discovery is to be completed on or before: December 19, 2019. Contention-based Interrogatories pursuant to Local Rule 7033-1(c) and Requests for Admission must be served by the fact discovery cut-off date.

6. Foreign Discovery: The Parties anticipate that a significant amount of discovery will be directed at individuals and entities located outside of the United States. The Parties contemplate that all requests directed at the Parties will proceed in the normal course under the Rules, as supplemented by any applicable Local Rule. As to requests directed at non-parties located abroad, the Parties understand that resort to applicable foreign and international law, including the Hague Evidence Convention, may be necessary. The Parties reserve their right to move the Court as appropriate for an extension of any of the deadlines in this Case Management Plan to accommodate circumstances that could arise in the course of international discovery.

7. Experts: Every party that intends to offer expert testimony must make the disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2) on or before: May 21, 2020. Every party that intends to

offer expert testimony in opposition to such disclosures must make the disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2) on or before: August 13, 2020. No expert testimony (whether designated as “rebuttal” or otherwise) will be permitted by other experts or beyond the scope of the opinion covered by the aforesaid disclosures except upon prior express permission of the Court, application for which must be made no later than 20 days after the date specified in the immediately preceding sentence. All experts may be deposed, but such depositions must occur on or before: November 17, 2020. The foregoing restriction shall not affect the Parties’ duty to supplement expert disclosures as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26. All expert discovery must be completed no less than **60** days before trial.

8. Limitations on Discovery Imposed under the Federal and/or Local Rules: Limitations on written discovery will be governed consistent with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and this Court’s Local Rules, subject to amendment by agreement of the Parties or application to the Court for good cause. All deadlines set forth herein shall be subject to amendment by agreement of the Parties or upon application to the Court for good cause shown.

The Parties may produce discovery, including initial disclosures, on a CD-ROM, in E-Data Room 1, or in another similar electronic format. Given the volume of documentation that may be subject to disclosure in this matter, the Parties may produce a summary report, such as an expert report, and provide access to the underlying documentation on which the summary report relies in E-Data Room 1 or in another medium for review by the defendants.

In the event of a discovery dispute between the Parties, the Parties shall meet and confer in an attempt to resolve the dispute. If not resolved, the Parties shall comply with Local Bankruptcy Rule 7007-1 with respect to resolution of the dispute.

9. Proposed Modifications of Standard Pretrial Proceeding due to the Special Nature of the Action. The Parties do not presently believe that any modifications are appropriate or necessary, and will contact the Court if their belief in this regard changes.

10. Prospects for Settlement, including whether a Settlement Conference should be Scheduled. The Court referred this matter to mediation by order dated June 18, 2019.

11. Summary Judgment Briefing. Any party desiring to make a motion for summary judgment must comply with Local Bankr. R. 7056-1(a) by February 11, 2021.

12. Any other Matter that may add to the Just and Expeditious Disposition of this Matter. In connection with this Matter, the Parties are Parties to a separate Stipulation Amending Briefing Schedule on Point V of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the Trustee's Second Amended Complaint, entered by the Court on October 22, 2018 (ECF Doc. 175), ("Stipulation Regarding Briefing Schedule.") The Parties incorporate the Stipulation Regarding Briefing Schedule by reference, and have agreed to extend the dates set forth in the Stipulation Regarding Briefing Schedule. Accordingly, the Trustee shall file his opposition to Point V of the Motion to Dismiss, if any, on or before: December 19, 2019; Defendants shall file their reply to the Trustee's opposition, if any, on or before: February 19, 2020; and oral argument on Point V of the Motion to Dismiss, if any, shall take place at a date and time to be determined by the Court.

13. Trial. The Parties propose that they will confer with each other and the Court at the conclusion of all discovery to schedule a final pre-trial and trial date.

14. Parties and Counsel. The following Parties join in this proposed Case Management Plan, through their counsel.

Dated: New York, New York  
June 24, 2019

/s/ Tracy Cole

Baker & Hostetler LLP

45 Rockefeller Plaza

New York, NY 10111

Telephone: (212) 589-4200

Facsimile: (212) 589-4201

David J. Sheehan

e-mail: dsheehan@bakerlaw.com

Tracy Cole

e-mail: tcole@bakerlaw.com

Fernando Bohorquez

e-mail: fbohorquez@bakerlaw.com

David M. McMillan

e-mail: dmcmillan@bakerlaw.com

Ganesh Krishna

e-mail: gkrishna@bakerlaw.com

By: /s/ Paul T. Weinstein

Emmet, Marvin & Martin, LLP

120 Broadway, 32th Floor

New York, New York 10271

Telephone: (212) 238-3090

Facsimile: (212) 238-3100

Paul. T. Weinstein

Email: pweinstein@emmetmarvin.com

*Attorneys for Defendants*

*Magnify Inc., Premero Investments Ltd.,*

*Strand International Investments Ltd.,*

*The Yeshaya Horowitz Association, Yair*

*Green, and Express Enterprises Inc.*

*Attorneys for Irving H. Picard,  
Trustee for the Substantively Consolidated  
SIPA Liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff  
Investment Securities LLC and the Estate of  
Bernard L. Madoff*

SO ORDERED this 24<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2019.

/s/ STUART M. BERNSTEIN

HONORABLE STUART M. BERNSTEIN

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE